defined a smoothing spline functional with sampling measure weights. The equivalent number of parameters dened on this functional does not depend on the distri  $\boxtimes$ butions of samples. The approximation of the equivalent number of parameters is derived when the number of samples becomes infinity. This approximation greatly reduced the calculation time needed to estimate the opti $\boxtimes$ mal smoothing. The smoothing spline calculation cost was so high that new algorithms (FMMIAT ast multipole method) were introduced and we developed the smooth $\boxtimes$ ing engine $\boxtimes$ which was applied to practical problems. The engine generated clear surfaces and was robust to vari

f(x)	$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ M \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{y}_{M}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}$	$+\frac{\gamma}{\omega_{M}^{(i)}}d_{N}^{(i)}$	$z_M^{(i)} = Z_M^{(i)}$	( <i>i</i> = 1, ·	··, <i>m</i> )		 )
	)	, .)					
4		-1	0	۲, ۱	ſ	ı	



## 5. Plate Surface Estimation by Using LIDAR and Smoothing TPS with Sampling Measure Weights

- -

Fig. 2)



 $BIC_{A} = m \qquad \prod_{i=1}^{m} \omega_{M}^{(i)} z_{M}^{(i)} - f\left(x_{M}^{(i)}, y_{M}^{(i)}\right)^{2}$  $m m \qquad 1 \quad \frac{k_{A}}{m}^{2}$  and the second 

- and the second second
- ). and the second
- ) man and a second second second second ,
- the second s
- and the transfer the

## REFERENCES

- )
- ) . . , . . . . , ) . . . .
- , ) ,

## )

- e de la companya de la comp .) . . 1 . . .
- )
- 1)
- ) )
- )
- )
- , )
- ) · · · · · · · ·
- )
- \_)
- ) () -